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Abstract

For a fixed positive integer $n$, we say a ring with identity is $n$-generalized right principally quasi-Baer, if for any principal right ideal $I$ of $R$, the right annihilator of $I^n$ is generated by an idempotent. This class of rings includes the right principally quasi-Baer rings and hence all prime rings. A certain $n$-generalized principally quasi-Baer subring of the matrix ring $M_n(R)$ are studied, and connections to related classes of rings (e.g., p.q.-Baer rings and $n$-generalized p.p. rings) are considered.

1. Introduction and Preliminaries

Throughout all rings are assumed to be associative with identity. From [12, 21], a ring $R$ is (quasi-)Baer if the right annihilator of any (right ideal) nonempty subset of $R$ is generated, as a right ideal, by an idempotent. Moreover, in [12] Clark proved the left-right symmetry of this condition. He uses this condition to characterize when a finite dimensional algebra with unity over an algebraically closed field is isomorphic to a twisted matrix units semigroup algebra. The class of quasi-Baer rings is a nontrivial generalization of the class of Baer rings. Every prime ring is quasi-Baer, hence prime rings with nonzero right singular ideal are quasi-Baer; but not Baer [24]. For a positive integer $n > 1$, the $n \times n$ matrix ring over a non-Prüfer commutative domain is a prime quasi-Baer ring which is not a Baer ring by [27] and [21, p.17]. The $n \times n$ ($n > 1$) upper triangular matrix ring over a domain which is not a division ring is quasi-Baer but not
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Baer by an example due to Cohn; see [1], [20] and [5]. The theory of Baer and quasi-Baer rings has come to play an important role and major contributions have been made in recent years by a number of authors, including Birkenmeier, Chatters, Khuri, Kim, Hirano and Park (see, for example [1], [4-7], [16], [21], [26] and [28]).

A ring satisfying a generalization of Rickart’s condition [30] (i.e., right annihilator of any element is generated (as a right ideal) by an idempotent) has a homological characterization as a right p.p.-ring. A ring $R$ is called a right (resp. left) p.p.-ring if every principal right (resp. left) ideal is projective. $R$ is called a p.p.-ring (also called a Rickart ring [2, p.18]), if it is both right and left p.p.-ring. In [9] Chase shows the concept of p.p.-ring is not left-right symmetric. Small [30] shows that a right p.p.-ring $R$ is Baer (so p.p. when $R$ is orthogonally finite. Also it is shown by Endo [13] that a right p.p.-ring $R$ is p.p when $R$ is abelian (i.e., every idempotent is central). Finally Chatters and Xue [11] prove that in a duo (i.e., every one sided ideal is two sided) p.p.-ring $R$, if $1$ is a finitely generated right projective ideal of $R$, then $1$ is left projective and a direct summand of an invertible ideal. Following Birkenmeier et al. [7], $R$ is called right principally quasi-Baer (or simply right p.q.-Baer), if the right annihilator of a principal right ideal is generated by an idempotent. Equivalently, $R$ is right p.q.-Baer if $R$ modulo the right annihilator of any principal right ideal is projective. Similarly, left p.q.-Baer rings can be defined. If $R$ is both right and left p.q.-Baer, then it is called p.q.-Baer. The class of p.q.-Baer rings includes all biregular rings, all quasi-Baer rings, and all abelian p.p.-rings. A ring $R$ is said to be p-regular, if for every $x \in R$ there exists a natural number $n$, depending on $x$, such that $x^n \in x^nRx^n$. A ring $R$ is called a generalized right p.p.-ring if for any $x \in R$ the right ideal $x^nR$ is projective for some positive integer $n$, depending on $x$, or equivalently, if for any $x \in R$ the right annihilator of $x^n$ is generated by an idempotent for some positive integer $n$, depending on $x$. A ring is called generalized p.p.-ring, if it is both generalized right and left p.p.-ring.

Note that Von Neumann regular rings are right (left) p.p.-rings by Goodearl [14,
Theorem 1.1], and a same argument as [14, Theorem 1.1] shows that $p$-regular rings are generalized p.p.-rings. Right p.p.-rings are generalized right p.p obviously. See [18] for more details.

**Definition 1.1.** Given a fixed positive integer $n$, we say a ring $R$ is $n$-generalized right principally quasi Baer (or $n$-generalized right p.q.-Baer), if for all principal right ideal $I$ of $R$, the right annihilator of $I^n$ is generated by an idempotent. Left cases may be defined analogously.

The class of $n$-generalized right p.q.-Baer rings includes all right p.q.-Baer rings, (and hence all biregular rings, quasi-Baer rings, abelian p.p.-rings and semicommutative (i.e., if $r(x)$ is an ideal for all $x \in R$ ) generalized p.p. rings). Theorem 2.1 in section 2, allows us to construct examples of $n$-generalized p.q.-Baer rings that are not p.q.-Baer. Some conditions on the equivalence of $n$-generalized p.q.-Baer and $n$-generalized p.p.-rings are discussed. However, we show by examples that the class of $n$-generalized p.q.-Baer rings properly extends the aforementioned classes.

In this paper, we discuss some type of matrix rings formed over p.q.-Baer or p.p. rings. We study $n$-generalized p.q.-Baer subrings of the matrix ring $M_n(R)$. Theorem 2.2, enables us to generate examples of $n$-generalized p.q.-Baer subrings of the matrix ring $M_n(R)$. Theorem 2.2, which extends [18, Proposition 6], enables us to provide more examples of matrix rings, that are both $n$-generalized p.q.-Baer and $n$-generalized p.p.-ring. Connections to related classes of rings are investigated. Although the class of $n$-generalized p.q.-Baer rings, includes all p.q.-Baer rings (and hence, all biregular rings, and all abelian p.p. rings), however we show by examples that the class of $n$-generalized p.q.-Baer rings properly extends the aforementioned classes.

Note that, for a reduced ring (which has no nonzero nilpotent elements), we have $l_R(Rx) = l_R((Rx)^n) = l_R(x^n) = l_R(x) = r_R(x) = r_R((xR)^n) = r_R(xR)$, for every $x \in R$ and every positive integer $n$. Therefore reduced rings are semicommutative and semicommutative rings are abelian. Also for reduced rings the definitions of right p.q.-
Baer, $n$-generalized right p.q.-Baer, generalized p.p. and p.p.-ring are coincide. This leads one ask whether commutative reduced rings are $n$-generalized p.q-Baer. However, the answer is negative by the following.

**Example 1.2.** Let $p$ be a prime number and $R = \{(a,b) \in \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z} \mid a \equiv b \pmod{p}\}$, then $R$ is a commutative reduced ring. Note that the only idempotents of $R$ are $(0,0)$ and $(1,1)$. One can show that $r_R((p,0)R) = (0,p)R$, so $r_R((p,0)R)$ does not contain a nonzero idempotent of $R$; and hence $R$ is not $n$-generalized right quasi-Baer, for any positive integer $n$.

**Lemma 1.3.** Let $R$ be an abelian $n$-generalized right p.q.-Baer ring, then $r_R(I^n) = r_R(I^m)$ for every principal right ideal $I$ of $R$ and each positive integer $m$ with $n \leq m$.

**Proof.** It is enough to show that $r_R(I^n) = r_R(I^{n+1})$. Let $x \in r_R(I^{n+1})$, then $Ix \subseteq r_R(I^n) = fR$ for some idempotent $f \in R$. Hence $I^n x = I^n xf = 0$. Thus $x \in r_R(I^n)$.

### 2. $n$-generalized right principally quasi Baer subrings of the matrix rings

In this section we discuss some type of matrix rings formed over p.q.-Baer or p.p. rings. Theorem 2.3, which extends [18, Proposition 6], enables us to provide more examples of matrix rings that are both $n$-generalized p.q.-Baer and $n$-generalized p.p.-ring. We begin with Theorem 2.2 below, which enables us to generate examples of $n$-generalized p.q.-Baer subrings of the matrix ring $M_n(R)$:

**Lemma 2.1 [18, Lemma 2].** Let $R$ be an abelian ring and define

$$S_n := \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & a_{12} & \cdots & a_{1n} \\ 0 & a & \cdots & a_{2n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & a \end{pmatrix} : a, a_{ij} \in R \right\},$$

with $n$ a positive integer $\geq 2$. Then every idempotent in $S_n$ is of the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} f & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & f & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & f \end{pmatrix}$$

with $f^2 = f \in R$.
We will use $S_n$ Throughout the remainder of the paper, to denote the ring indicated in Lemma 2.1.

**Theorem 2.2.** If $R$ is an abelian p.q.-Baer ring and $n \geq 2$ is a positive integer, then $S_n$ is an $n$-generalized right p.q.-Baer ring.

**Proof.** We proceed by induction on $n$. It is easy to show that $S_2$ is a 2-generalized right p.q.-Baer ring. Let $I_n$ be a principal right ideal of $S_n$. Consider $\{x_{n1} \}, \{x_{n2} \}, \ldots, \{x_{nn} \}$, and $\{x_{11} \}, \{x_{12} \}, \ldots, \{x_{1n} \}$. It is clear that $I_{n-1}$ and $I_{n-2}$ are principal right ideals of $S_{n-1}$. By induction hypothesis and Lemma 2.1, there are $i, j$ such that $x_{ij} \in I_{n-1}$ for each $i$ and $j$ except $x_{1n}$. So we have $a_1a_2 \cdots a_n x_{1n} + y_{1n} x = 0$. Hence $y_{1n} x = 0$, since $f_1 \in B(R)$. Thus $x_{1n} \in f_1R$ and hence $I_n \subseteq eS_n$ for $e = \begin{pmatrix} f_1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & f_1 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & f_1 \end{pmatrix} \in S_n$. Since, for each $y \in I_ne$, all entries of the main diagonal of $Y$ are zero and $e$ is central, $I_{n-1}e = (I_{n-1}e)^n = 0$. Thus $r_{S_n}(1_n) = eS_n$. Therefore $S_n$ is $n$-generalized right p.q.-Baer.

The following result, which generalizes [18, Proposition 6], provides examples of
matrix rings that are both n-generalized p.q.-Baer and n-generalized p.p.-ring:

**Theorem 2.3.** If $R$ is an abelian p.p.-ring, then $S_n$ is an abelian $n$-generalized p.p.-ring.

**Proof.** We prove by induction on $n$. First, we show that the trivial extension $S_2$ of $R$ is 2-generalized right p.p. Let $A = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & a \end{pmatrix} \in S_2$ and $r_n(a) = eR$, then $e^2 \in R$. It is clear that, if $R$ is reduced, $a^2x = ax = 0$ and $a^2y = ay = 0$. Hence $ex = x$ and $ey = y$. Thus $\begin{pmatrix} x \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = f \begin{pmatrix} x \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$.

Therefore $S_2$ is 2-generalized right p.p. Now assume $B = \begin{pmatrix} a & a_{12} & \cdots & a_{1n-1} \\ 0 & a & \cdots & a_{2n-1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & a \end{pmatrix} \in S_n$.

Consider $B_1 = \begin{pmatrix} a & a_{12} & \cdots & a_{1n-1} \\ 0 & a & \cdots & a_{2n-1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & a \end{pmatrix}$ and $B_2 = \begin{pmatrix} a & a_{23} & \cdots & a_{2n} \\ 0 & a & \cdots & a_{3n-1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & a \end{pmatrix}$ in $S_{n-1}$, then by the induction hypothesis, there exists $e^2 = e_i \in S_{n-1}$, $f_i = f_i \in R$, such that $r_{n-1}(B_1^{n-1}) = e_i S_{n-1}$, $e = \begin{pmatrix} f_i & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & f_i & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & f_i \end{pmatrix}$ for $i = 1, 2$. By direct calculations, we have $r_n(Bn^{-2}) = eS_n$ with $r_n(Bn^{-2}) = r_n(Bn^{-2}) = eS_n$.

**Corollary 2.4** [18, Proposition 6]. If $R$ is a domain, then $S_n$ is an abelian $n$-generalized p.p.-ring.

For a semicommutative ring, the definitions of $n$-generalized right p.q.-Baer and $n$-generalized right p.p. are coincide:

**Proposition 2.5.** Let $R$ be a semicommutative ring. Then $R$ is $n$-generalized right p.q.-Baer if and only if $R$ is $n$-generalized right p.p.
Proof. Let $R$ be $n$-generalized right p.q.-Baer and $a \in R$. Then $r_n(aR)^n = eR$ for some idempotent $e \in R$. Let $x \in r_n(a^n)$. Since $R$ is semicommutative, $R a R x \subseteq r_n(a^{n-1})$, which implies that $r_n(aR)^n = eR$. The converse is similar.

There exists an $n$-generalized right p.q.-Baer ring, which is generalized p.p.-ring but is not semicommutative.

**Example 2.6.** Let $R$ be an integral domain and $S_4$ be defined over $R$. Then $S_4$ is abelian $4$-generalized p.p.-ring and is $4$-generalized p.q.-Baer by Corollary 2.4. By considering $b = a = e_{22} + e_{34} + e_{34}$ and $c = e_{23}$ in $S_4$, where $e_{ij}$ denote the matrix units, we have $ab = 0$, and $acb \neq 0$, hence $a S_4 b \neq 0$.

Now we conjecture that subrings of $n$-generalized right p.q.-Baer rings are also $n$-generalized right p.q.-Baer. But the answer is negative by the following.

**Example 2.7.** For a field $F$, take $F_n = F$ for $n = 1, 2,...,$ and let $S$ be the $2 \times 2$ matrix ring over the ring $\Pi_{n=1}^{\infty} F_n$. By [7, Proposition 2.1 and Theorem 2.2] we have that $S$ is a p.q.-Baer ring. Let

$$R = \begin{cases} \Pi_{n=1}^{\infty} F_n & \oplus_{n=1}^{\infty} F_n \\ \oplus_{n=1}^{\infty} F_n & < \oplus_{n=1}^{\infty} F_n, 1 > \end{cases},$$

which is a subring of $S$, where $< \oplus_{n=1}^{\infty} F_n, 1 >$ is the $F$-algebra generated by $\oplus_{n=1}^{\infty} F_n$ and $1$. Then by [7, Example 1.6], $R$ is semiprime p.p which is neither right p.q.-Baer (and hence not $n$-generalized right p.q.-Baer), nor left p.q.-Baer (and hence not $n$-generalized left p.q.-Baer).

### 3. Examples of $n$-generalized p.q.-Baer subrings

Although the class of $n$-generalized p.q.-Baer rings, includes all p.q.-Baer rings (and hence, all biregular rings, all quasi-Baer rings, and all abelian p.p. rings), however we show by examples that the class of $n$-generalized p.q.-Baer rings properly extends the aforementioned classes.

By the following example, there is an abelian p.q.-Baer (hence semiprime) ring $R$. 


which is not reduced, but \( S_n \) is an abelian \( n \)-generalized right p.q.-Baer ring that is not semiprime.

**Example 3.1.** By Zalesskii and Neroslavskii [10, Example 14.17, p.179], there is a simple noetherian ring \( R \) that is not a domain and in which 0 and 1 are the only idempotents. Thus \( R \) is an abelian p.q.-Baer ring that is neither left nor right p.p, and hence is not reduced. By [7, Proposition 1.17] \( R \) is semiprime and by Theorem 2.1, \( S_n \) is abelian \( n \)-generalized p.q.-Baer, that is not semiprime and hence is not right p.q.-Baer.

**Example 3.2.** If \( R \) is an abelian p.q.-Baer ring, then \( R[x]/<x^3> \) is an \( n \)-generalized p.q.-Baer ring.

**Proof.** First we note that \( \Theta : T \rightarrow R[x]/<x^3> \) defined by

\[
(a_0,a_1,a_2) \mapsto (a_0 + a_1x + a_2x^2) + <x^3>
\]

is an isomorphism, where \( T = \{ (a,b,c) \mid a,b,c \in R \} \) is a ring with addition componentwise and the multiplication defined by

\[
(a_1,b_1,c_1)(a_2,b_2,c_2) = (a_1a_2,a_1b_2 + b_1a_2,a_1c_2 + b_1b_2 + c_1a_2).
\]

Let \( \mathfrak{l} \) be an ideal of \( T \). Suppose \( \mathfrak{l} = \{ a \in R \mid (a,b,c) \in \mathfrak{l} \} \), it is clear that \( \mathfrak{l} \) is an ideal of \( R \). Since \( R \) is p.q.-Baer, \( r_\mathfrak{l}(\mathfrak{l}) = \mathfrak{e}R \) for an idempotent \( \mathfrak{e} \in R \). We can show that \( r(\mathfrak{l}^3) = (\mathfrak{e},0,0)T \), and hence the result follows.

There exists a commutative \( n \)-generalized p.q.-Baer (hence \( n \)-generalized p.p.-) ring \( R \), over which \( S_n \) is not an \( n \)-generalized p.p.-ring.

**Example 3.3.** Let \( p \neq 3 \) be a prime integer and \( \mathbb{Z}_p^3 \) be the ring of integers modulo \( p^3 \), and \( S_3 \) be defined over \( \mathbb{Z}_p^3 \). Let \( A = pI_3 + e_{13} \), where \( I_3 \) is the identity matrix and \( e_{ij} \) denote the matrix units. It is clear that \( pI_3 + e_{13} + e_{22} \in r_{S_3}(A^3) \) and idempotents of \( S_3 \) are \( I_3 \) and 0. Hence \( r_{S_3}(A^3) = I_3S_3 \) and that \( S_3 \) is not 3-generalized p.p.-ring, but \( \mathbb{Z}_p^3 \) is a 3-generalized p.p.-ring.

**Example 3.4.** For every abelian quasi-Baer (resp. p.p.-) ring \( R \), by Theorems 2.1 and
2.2, the ring $S_n$ is $n$-generalized right p.q.-Baer, which is not right p.q.-Baer. Therefore we are able to provide examples of $n$-generalized right p.q.-Baer rings that is not right p.q.-Baer:

Let $F$ be a field, and $R = F[x]$ be the polynomial ring where $x$ is an indeterminate. Then $S_n$ is a $n$-generalized right p.q.-Baer ring that is not right p.q.-Baer.
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